

Section 12

Lecturer: Larry Blume

TA: Abhi Ananth

Problem 1 (2011 June V). Consider an economy in which there is one public good (x) and one private good (y). There are I individuals, indexed $i = 1, \dots, I$ (with $I \geq 2$). Individual i has an endowment $a_i > 0$ of the private good, and none of the public good. The total endowment of the private good, $(a_1 + \dots + a_I)$, is denoted by a . The public good can be produced from the private good, using a production function, $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. Assume that h has the following form: $h(z) = z$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Each individual's consumption set is \mathbb{R}_+^2 and consumer i 's preferences are represented by a utility function:

$$u_i(x, y_i) = f_i(x) + g_i(y_i) \text{ for } (x, y_i) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$$

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, I\}$, the functions f_i and g_i are assumed to satisfy:

- (A1) $f_i(0) = 0$; f_i is increasing, strictly concave and continuously differentiable on \mathbb{R}_+ .
 (A1) $g_i(0) = 0$; g_i is increasing, strictly concave and continuously differentiable on \mathbb{R}_+ .
 (A1) $f_i(a) < g_i(a_i)$ and $f_i'(0) > g_i'(a_i)$

(a) Let $(x, y_1, \dots, y_I) \gg 0$ be a Pareto Efficient allocation. Show that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^I \frac{f_i'(x)}{g_i'(y_i)} = 1$$

(b) Let (c_1, \dots, c_I) be a voluntary contributions equilibrium, with $c_i \in [0, a_i]$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, I\}$. The associated allocation (x, y_1, \dots, y_I) is defined by:

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^I c_i \text{ and } y_i = a_i - c_i \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, I\}$$

- (i) Show that we must have $c_i < a_i$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, I\}$, and $\sum_{i=1}^I c_i > 0$.
 (ii) Using (i), show that the allocation (x, y_1, \dots, y_I) , associated with a voluntary contributions equilibrium (c_1, \dots, c_I) , cannot be Pareto Efficient.
 (c) Let (c_1, \dots, c_I) be any voluntary contributions equilibrium, satisfying $(c_1, \dots, c_I) \gg 0$, with associated allocation (x, y_1, \dots, y_I) . Let (x', y'_1, \dots, y'_I) be any Pareto Efficient Allocation satisfying $(x', y'_1, \dots, y'_I) \gg 0$. Can $x \geq x'$?

Problem 2 (2009 Aug III). Consider an economy with two consumers, A and B and two assets, 1 and 2. There are three units of asset 1 and three units of asset 2 in the economy. The initial endowment of A at $t = 0$, is given by $(e_1^A, e_2^A) = (2, 1)$, and the initial endowment of B at $t = 0$ is $(e_1^B, e_2^B) = (1, 2)$. The price of asset 1 is q_1 , the price of asset 2 is $q_2 = 1$.

At $t = 1$, there are two possible states $S = \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$, which occur with equal probability. The payoff matrix is given by:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Consumers are both expected utility maximizer with utility for state-contingent wealth x given by

$$u^A(x) = 5 \ln x + 2$$

$$u^B(x) = 13x$$

- (a) At $t = 0$, the two consumers choose portfolios of assets so as to maximize their expected utility of state-contingent consumption. State the optimization problems of the two consumers at $t = 0$.
- (a') Suppose $q_1 = \frac{5}{2}$. Draw the budget constraint of consumer A . What is the optimal choice of consumption in state ω_2 for this consumer? Derive the set of values of q_1 for which the budget sets of both consumers are bounded.
- (b) For the set of values of q_1 derived in part (a'), solve the optimization problems of both consumers. Set up the conditions for a market equilibrium and derive the equilibrium consumption and asset prices. Illustrate the equilibrium in an Edgeworth box.
- (c) Which of the two consumers is fully insured in equilibrium? Show that this consumers will be fully insured in equilibrium for any distribution of initial endowments such that: $e_1^A > 0, e_2^A > 0, e_1^B > 0, e_2^B > 0, e_1^A + e_1^B = 3, e_2^A + e_2^B = 3$, and $e_1^A + e_2^A \leq 3$.
- (d) New research has uncovered a third state, ω_3 which can occur at $t = 1$ with probability 0.2. States ω_1 and ω_2 are still considered to be equally probable. The payoff matrix is now

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Is it possible to determine whether the equilibrium of this economy is Pareto-optimal without actually computing it?

- (d') How would your answer to (d) change if there were a third asset and the payoff matrix, for some $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$, is now:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & r \end{bmatrix}$$