ECON 6100

3/26/2021

Section 6

Lecturer: Larry Blume

TA: Abhi Ananth

1 Review

An equilibrium can be though to be comprised of three components:

- 1. What gets consumed?
- 2. What gets produced?
 - (a) What goods are produced and how much?
 - (b) What factors are used and how much?
- 3. What prices make this exchange work?

1.1 Two sector models

The economy is endowed with two production processes (sectors) f_A and f_B that produce goods A and B respectively. It is a two sector model because each sector produces a unique good.

We typically assume there are two factors of production, capital (k) and labor (l) that move freely between the two sectors.

The production functions are assumed to satisfy:

- A.1 The production function f_j is twice continuously differentiable with $f'_j > 0$ and $f''_i < 0$.
- A.2 The production function satisfies Inada condition (this is important because ... *who has the time to check for corner solutions?*)

$$\lim_{k \to 0} \frac{\partial f_j(k,l)}{\partial k} = \lim_{l \to 0} \frac{\partial f_j(k,l)}{\partial l} = +\infty$$
(1)

A.3 f_j is homogenous of degree 1 (constant returns to scale).

Min cost of prod 5 units of
$$j = 5x$$
 min cost of 1 unit of j .

1.2 HOV model

Consider a small open economy trading goods *A* and *B* in a large world market. Consequently, prices p_A and p_B are determined independently of production here. The economy is endowed with endowments of factor inputs *K* and *L*.

1.2.1 Producer feasibility and efficiency

The PPS can be written as:

$$PPS = \{(y_A, y_B) : y_j \le f_j(k_j, l_j) \; \forall j \in \{A, B\}, k_A + k_B \le K, l_A + l_B \le L\}$$
(2)

The production possibility frontier (PPF) are the set of all (y_A, y_B) pairs that simultaneously solves:

$$\phi(y_B) = \max f_A(k_A, l_A)$$

s. t. $f_B(k_B, l_B) \not f_B y_B$
 $k_A + k_B \le K$
 $l_A + l_B \le L$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(y_A) &= \max f_B(k_B, l_B) \\ \text{s.t. } f_A(k_B, l_A) & & & \\ k_A + k_B \leq K \\ l_A + l_B \leq L \end{aligned}$$
 Condition on directing
 (A & k_A to produce atleast
) N_A, what's the max.
 amount of Y_B & can make. \end{aligned}

1.2.2 Equilibrium
Define any equilibrium as
$$(w^*, r^*, (l_j^*, k_j^*, y_j^*)_{j \in \{A,B\}})$$
 such that:

1.
$$(l_j^*, k_j^*, y_j^*)_{j \in \{A, B\}}$$
 maximizes profit:

$$l_{j}^{*}, k_{j}^{*}, y_{j}^{*} = \arg\max p_{j}y_{j} - rk_{j} - wl_{j} \, \text{s.t.} \, y_{j} \le f(k_{i}, l_{i})$$
(3)

2.
$$\sum_{j} (l_{j}^{*}, k_{j}^{*}) = (L, K)$$

We know from lecture that there are two classes of equilibria here:

• Diversified

• Specialized

But first, recall that Shepard's lemma gives us the factor use as the gradient of the unit cost functions:

$$l_j = \frac{\partial c_j(w, r)}{\partial w}, \ k_j = \frac{\partial c_j(w, r)}{\partial r}$$
(4)

$$\begin{array}{l} \left(\begin{array}{c} \left(\omega, \eta, \eta \right) \\ \left(\omega, \eta, \eta \right) \\ \left(\varepsilon_{j} \left(\omega, \eta, \eta \right) \\ \left(\varepsilon_{j} \left(w, \eta, \eta \right) \\ \left(\varepsilon_{j} \left(w, \eta \right) \\ \varepsilon_{j} \left(w, \eta \right) \\ \varepsilon_{j} \left(w, \eta \right) \\ \left(\varepsilon_{j} \left(w, \eta \right) \\ \varepsilon_{j} \left(w, \eta, \eta \right) \\ \varepsilon_{j} \left(w, \eta \right) \\ \varepsilon_{j} \left(w, \eta, \eta \right) \\ \varepsilon_{j} \left(w, \eta \right$$

There are 2 types of specialized eqborn. (1) $\mathcal{L}_{A}(\omega, \pi) = p_{A}$ but $\mathcal{L}_{B}(\omega, \pi) > p_{B}$ $\Rightarrow Y_{B} = 0$ Note that even when $y_A > 0$, $T_A = 0$. (2) The interesting kind: $A \quad C_{A}(\omega, 8) = P_{A}$ $B \quad C_{B} = P_{B}$ * These are the mit isoquant lines for A, B. By Shepard's lemma, we know: $\frac{\partial C_i(w, \Re)}{\partial w} = l_j, \frac{\partial C_i(w, \Re)}{\partial \Re} = k_j.$ $\mathcal{L}_{A}(w,\mathfrak{R}) = P_{A}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{B}(w,\mathfrak{R}) = P_{B}$ happens where? \sim Notice that this determines w^{*},\mathfrak{R}^{*} .

 $k = \frac{1}{2}$ $1 = L_1, K_1$ $2 = L_2, K_2$ $3 = L_{3,2} K_{3}$ $C_{A} = P_{A}$ $\vec{c} = \alpha \vec{\beta}$ -B $C_B = P_B$ $\ni w$ $(1) \exists \gamma_A, \gamma_B > 0$ Y_A , Y_B V_{C_A} + Y_B $V_{C_B} = (L_1, K_1)$ J Eqlored (2) $\exists y_A > 0$, $y_B = 0$: $y_A \nabla c_A = (L_2, K_2)$ $\exists \xi = 0$: $\xi = 0$: $\left(3\right)$ Clearly $Y_{A}^{*} = 0$. But $y_{B}^{*} > 0$ as w_{3}^{*} such that $G_{A}(w_{3}^{*}, g^{*}) = P_{A}$ Cannot satisfy $Y_A^* \nabla c_A^* = (L_3, K_3)$. T So, lower $w^*, 9^*$ until $C_A(w^*, 9^*) < P_A$ $\implies A$ earne positive profit. ĖC

à Suengilla: This was incorrect h B Vc k 7.1 2 JCB $C_{A} = P_{A}$ egom Cp B = PB PB

2 **Problems**

Problem 1. Suppose in a small open economy, world output prices for good 1 and 2 are *p* and 1, respectively. The production functions are:



- (b) Compute $\frac{\partial w}{\partial p}$ when both goods are produced.
- (c) Suppose p = 1. If the endowment of capital and labor are both 100, do both firms operate?. If (L, k) is in comic combin of $\sqrt{c_{a}}$, $\sqrt{c_{b}} \rightarrow 0$ inversified (d) Suppose p = 1. If the endowment of capital and labor are 100 and 400 respectively, do both abm) ofse uct firms operate?

Problem 2. A small open economy produces two goods, A and B, using two inputs, capital (k) and labor (*l*). The production function for the two goods are:

$$f_A(l_A, k_A) = \min\{\alpha_A l_A, \beta_A k_A\}$$
$$f_B(l_B, k_B) = \min\{\alpha_B l_B, \beta_B k_B\}$$

Let p_A and p_B be the world output prices of good A and B respectively. Also, let the country's stock of capital and labor be $(K, L) \gg 0$. Denote the prices of capital and labor by r and w respectively. Suppose $K/L \in (1/4, 1/2)$.

- (a) Let $p_A = \alpha_A = \alpha_B = 1$ and $\beta_A = 4$, $\beta_B = 2$. Suppose we are in the situation where both A and *B* are produced in positive quantities. Solve for the competitive equilibrium when both wage rate and rental rate are positive.
- (b) Suppose the economy specified above is in a diversified competitive equilibrium with w, r > w0. What can you say regarding the factor intensity of industry A compared to industry B? What is the effect of an increase in p_B on the equilibrium input prices?

1 Notice that the equipm is characterized in terms of mit cost function, so let's find it: $\mathcal{L}(9,w) = \min_{\substack{k,l}} wl + 9k$ st kx l1-x >1, k, 270 $\chi = wl + \eta k + \lambda \left[1 - k^{\alpha} l^{1-\alpha} \right]$ $FOC(k): \quad \Re = \lambda \propto k^{\alpha-1} l^{1-\alpha}$ $FOC(l): \quad W = \lambda(1-\alpha) k^{\alpha} l^{-\alpha}$ + Const: $k^{\alpha} \ell^{1-\alpha} = 1$. $\begin{array}{c} C_{A} = P_{A} \\ C_{B} = P_{B} \end{array} \longrightarrow \Re^{\times}, W^{\times} \end{array}$ $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{W}\right) = \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}}{\mathcal{K}}\right)^{\mathcal{K}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{W}}{\mathcal{K}}\right)^{\mathcal{K}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{W}}{\mathcal{K}}\right)^{\mathcal{K}}$ d = 1/2 So, $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{W}) = 2\sqrt{\mathcal{H}\mathcal{W}}$ $q' = \frac{3}{4}$ $\mathcal{L}_{2}(\mathcal{R}_{1}W) = \frac{4}{2^{3/4}} \mathcal{P}_{1}^{3/4} W^{1/4}$ Recall (w, \Re) are completely characterized by $P_1 = \mathcal{L}_1(w, \Re)$ and $P_2 = \mathcal{L}_2(w, \Re)$. $1 = \frac{4}{3^{3/4}} 91^{3/4} W^{1/4}$

 $9_1^{*} = (3/4)^{3/2} \frac{1}{p}$ $\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \omega^{\dagger}}{\partial p} = \frac{1}{27} = \sqrt{\frac{4}{27}} + \frac{3p^2}{27}$ [] To answer this, I must compute $\nabla \mathcal{L}_{1}(\mathfrak{R}^{\star},\mathfrak{W}^{\star}), \nabla \mathcal{L}_{2}(\mathfrak{R}^{\star},\mathfrak{W}^{\star}).$ After careful algebra: $\nabla_{C_1} = \left[\frac{\sqrt{27}}{4} \right]$ $(\omega, \Re) = \left[\frac{4}{\sqrt{27}} \right]$ $\nabla c_{2} = \left[3^{-3/4} \left(\frac{27}{16} \right)^{3/4} \right]$ 3 1/4 (16/27)1/4

K . (100,100) (400,100) 1 Cq (12*,9*) = PA 71 **-** - -16/27 Y16 1 [100] $\frac{\gamma_{A}}{70} \cdot \sqrt{C_{A}} + \frac{\gamma_{B}}{70} \sqrt{C_{B}} = \frac{1}{70}$

min wetter $\mathcal{L}(\omega, \mathcal{R}) =$ 2 min $\{ \ell \ , \beta k \} \ge 1$ S.t. $\Leftrightarrow ql \ge 1$ BR 771 $L = W \left(+ \eta k + \lambda_1 \left(1 - \alpha k \right) + \lambda_2 \left(1 - \beta k \right) \right)$ $FOC(l): w = \lambda_i \prec$ $(k): \mathcal{R} = \lambda_{2}\beta$ Consts: $l^* = \frac{1}{4}$; $k^* = \frac{1}{B}$. $\mathcal{C}(w, \mathfrak{R}) = w \ell^* + \mathfrak{R} k^*$ $= \frac{W}{X} + \frac{N}{B}$ (b) Stopler - Samuelson: In a diversified cabon if PAT, is intensive in has its price T the imput that A & other V. (Bis intensive in k) w